01	1	
02	2	
03	3	
04	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
05	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
06	JAMES BAKER,) CA	SE NO. C08-0565-JCC-MAT
07	7 Plaintiff,	
08	· II	RDER RE: MOTION FOR
09	II '	POINTMENT OF COUNSEL
10	Defendants.	
11	1	
12	Plaintiff James Baker proceeds pro se and in forma pauperis in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil	
13	rights case. Plaintiff now requests appointment of counsel. (Dkt. 20.) Defendants did not submit	
14	any opposition to this motion. Having considered all documents filed in support of the pending	
15	motion, as well as the remainder of the record, the Court does hereby find and ORDER:	
16	(1) There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under § 1983.	
17	Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request counsel to represent a party	
18	proceeding in forma pauperis, plaintiff has shown neither exceptional circumstances, nor an	
19	inability to articulate his claims pro se that would warrant the appointment of counsel. See	
20	Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for	
21	1	
22	¹ Plaintiff may not have mailed a copy of his motion to defendants. (<i>See</i> Dkt. 20, Attach. 1.) He is advised to provide defendants with copies of all filings in the future.	
	ORDER RE: MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE -1	

01	appointment of counsel (Dkt. 20) is DENIED.	
02	(2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to defendants, and	
03	to the Honorable John C. Coughenour.	
04	DATED this <u>23rd</u> day of July, 2008.	
05	mm and a a Men	
06	Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge	
07	Officed States Wagistrate Judge	
08		
09		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
	ORDER RE: MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE -2	